Characterization of Mercury Emissions at a Chlor-Alkali Plant

VOLUME I
Report and
Appendices A-E

John S. Kinsey
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

ABSTRACT

Current estimates indicate that up to 160 short tons (146 Mg) of mercury (Hg) is consumed by the chlor-alkali industry each year. Very little quantitative information is currently available, however, on the actual Hg losses from these facilities. The Hg cell building roof vent is considered to be the most significant potential emission point in chlor-alkali plants, especially when the cells are opened for maintenance. Because of their potential importance, chlor-alkali plants have been identified as needing more accurate measurements of Hg emissions. To obtain a better understanding of the fate of Hg within their manufacturing process, the Olin Corporation voluntarily agreed to cooperate with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in a comprehensive study of the Hg emissions from their Augusta, GA, facility, in collaboration with other members of the Chlorine Institute representing the active chlor-alkali plants in the United States.

To investigate the Hg releases from the Olin chlor-alkali facility, the EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division (EPA-APPCD) in Research Triangle Park, NC, organized a special study involving multiple organizations and personnel. However, only the research conducted by EPA-APPCD involving roof vent monitoring and air flow studies conducted in the Olin cell building is discussed in this report.

The overall objective of monitoring the cell building roof vent was to determine the total elemental mercury (Hg⁰) mass flux from the cell building under a range of typical wintertime meteorological conditions, including both normal operation of the cell building and routine maintenance of Hg cells and decomposers. Secondary objectives of the research were to perform an air flow mass balance for the building and to compare various Hg monitoring methods under a variety of sampling conditions. Both objectives were met during the February 2000 field sampling campaign, which showed an average Hg⁰ emission rate of 0.36 g/min from the roof ventilator as determined over the 9-day monitoring period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

ABSTRACT	ii
LIST OF FIG	URES vi
LIST OF TAE	BLESviii
LIST OF ABI	BREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS ix
UNIT CONV	ERSION TABLE xi
ACKNOWLE	EDGMENTS xii
SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Background
1.2	Overall Program Description
	1.2.1 Preliminary Survey
	1.2.2 Winter Sampling Campaign
1.3	Research Objectives
1.4	Organization of Report
SECTION 2	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SECTION 3	PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND OPERATION
3.1	General Process Description
3.2	Plant Operation
3.3	Cell Building 15
SECTION 4	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES
4.1	Measurement Methods, Setup, and Calibration
	4.1.1 Roof Vent Monitoring
	4.1.2 Manual Tracer Gas Analyses
	4.1.3 Manual Velocity Measurements
4.2	Data Reduction and Analysis
	4.2.1 Roof Vent Monitoring
	4.2.2 Tracer Gas Analyses

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	4.2.3	Emission Rate Calculations	35
	4.2.4	Manual Velocity Measurements and Flow Balance Calculations	40
SECTION 5	RESUL	TS AND DISCUSSION	44
5.1	Mercury	Monitoring Results	44
	5.1.1	Monitoring Data and Mercury Emission Rates	44
	5.1.2	Comparison of Mercury Measurement Methods	55
5.2	Tracer C	Gas Results	63
	5.2.1	Roof Vent Monitoring	63
	5.2.2	Tracer Gas Study – Manual Bag Sampling	63
5.3	Air Flov	v Study Results	66
	5.3.1	Roof Vent Monitoring	66
	5.3.2	Flow Balance Calculations	68
5.4	Discussi	on of Results	69
	5.4.1	Roof Vent Monitoring	69
	5.4.2	Building Air Flow Evaluation	70
	5.4.3	Comparison with Historical Information	71
SECTION 6	QUALI	TY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	74
6.1	UV-DO	AS Measurements	74
6.2	Optical A	Anemometry	74
6.3	SF ₆ Rele	ease, Sampling, and Analysis (FTIR)	76
6.4	Long-Pa	ath FTIR QA/QC Checks (Roof Vent)	77
6.5	On-Site	Audit	79
6.6	Data Qu	ality Indicators	80
SECTION 7	REFER	ENCES	81
APPENDICE	S		
Α	Descript	ion of Buildings and Processes at the Olin Facility	A-i
В	FTIR Sp	pectral Analyses Conducted by ManTech, Inc. (Jeff Childers)	B-i
С		Dectral Analyses Conducted by ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller Natschke)	C-i
D	Roof Ve	ent Manual Velocity Data	D-i

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

E	Manual Velocity Data in Cell Building Openings and Associated Air Flow Balance Calculations	E-i
	Volume II	
F	Elemental Mercury Emission Rate Calculations	F-i
G	Elemental Mercury and Air Velocity Measurements at Entrance to Roof Vent Made During January 2000 Presurvey	G-i
Н	UV-DOAS Quality Control Data	H-i
I	Bag Sampling Quality Control Data and Rotameter Calibrations	. I-i
J	Copies of Field Sampling Notebook Pages from Manual Bag Sampling	. J-i

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	Project organization chart (includes contractor support from OPSIS®, Inc., and		
	Eastern Research Group, Inc.).	. 3	
1-2	Location of measurement activities		
3-1	Simplified diagram of the mercury cell process	13	
3-2	Process flow diagram for Olin-Augusta	14	
3-3a	Cell building showing interior of roof ventilator	16	
3-3b	Cell building showing exterior of roof ventilator (from north)		
3-4	Electrolyzer used at the Olin-Augusta plant	18	
3-5	Mercury cell: horizontal view and outlet end-box view	19	
3-6	North line of electrolytic cells in Olin cell building.	20	
3-7	Decomposer used at the Olin-Augusta plant	21	
3-8	General diagram of the cell building showing cell rows, general fan locations, etc	23	
4-1	Cross-section of roof ventilator showing internal structure.	26	
4-2	From left to right, the FTIR retroreflector, UV-DOAS light source, and optical anemometer		
	receiver unit installed on the east sampling platform.	27	
4-3	Relative locations of instrument beam paths in roof vent cross section	29	
4-4	Cylinder layout along the cell building basement.	34	
4-5	Soaker tubing layout inside the cell room.	34	
4-6a	Average roof vent temperature differential as determined from 15-min		
	monitoring data	39	
4-6b	Calculated temperature differential for roof ventilator as determined from average monitoring		
	data shown in Figure 4-6a	39	
5-1	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 17, 2000	45	
5-2	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 18, 2000	45	
5-3	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 19, 2000	46	
5-4	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 20, 2000	46	
5-5	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 21, 2000	47	
5-6	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 22, 2000	47	
5-7	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 23, 2000	48	
5-8	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 24, 2000	48	
5-9	Time history of roof vent elemental mercury concentration for February 25, 2000	49	
5-10	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 17, 2000	50	
5-11	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 18, 2000.	50	

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

5-12	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 19, 2000	. 51
5-13	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 20, 2000	. 51
5-14	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 21, 2000	. 52
5-15	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 22, 2000	. 52
5-16	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 23, 2000	. 53
5-17	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 24, 2000	. 53
5-18	Time history of roof vent air velocity for February 25, 2000	. 54
5-19	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 17, 2000	. 56
5-20	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 18, 2000	. 56
5-21	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 19, 2000	. 57
5-22	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 20, 2000	. 57
5-23	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 21, 2000	. 58
5-24	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 22, 2000	. 58
5-25	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 23, 2000	. 59
5-26	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 24, 2000	. 59
5-27	Elemental mercury emission rates for February 25, 2000	. 60
5-28	Lateral profile of elemental mercury concentration as determined by the Jerome	
	431-X instrument	. 61
5-29	UV-DOAS/Tekran comparison (February 17-21, 2000)	. 62
5-30	Chronology of elemental mercury concentrations measured by OPSIS [™] Model	
	AR 500 UV-DOAS and Tekran Model 2537A CVAFS for February 17-21, 2000	. 62
5-31	Bag sampling locations in cell building	. 64
5-32	Hand-held anemometer readings at the optical anemometer measurement height	
	on the east sampling platform, looking west	. 67
5-33	Hand-held anemometer readings at the optical anemometer measurement height	
	on the west sampling platform, looking west	. 67
5-34	Profile of elemental mercury concentration along length of roof vent entrance	
	as obtained during the January 2000, presurvey	. 70

LIST OF TABLES

4-1	Roof Vent Instrumentation	25
4-2	Typical FTIR Operating Parameters	31
4-3	Gas Release Concentrations	36
5-1	Summary of 30-sec Roof Vent DOAS Data	49
5-2	Summary of 1-min Roof Vent Optical Anemometer Data	54
5-3	Summary of Calculated Elemental Mercury Emission Rates	60
5-4	Manual Bag Analysis Results	64
5-5	Comparison of Velocity Measurements in Roof Vent	68
5-6	Results of Air Flow Balance Calculations for the Olin Cell Building	68
5-7	Comparison of Current Study with Prior Research	72
6-1	QC Checks for Experimental Methods Included in QA Plan	75
6-2	Quality Control Checks for UV-DOAS	75
6-3	Results of Daily QC Checks of Model 104a Optical Anemometer	76
6-4	Manual Bag Sampling/Analysis Blank Control Checks	78
6-5	Manual Bag Sampling/Analysis Quality Control Checks	78
6-6	Data Quality Indicator Results	80

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

APPCD Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division

ATREEs anemometer trees

CAPs Chlor-alkali plants

CH₄ methane

Cl₂ chlorine gas

CO carbon monoxide

CVAFS cold-vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometer

DAS data acquisition system

DMB direct mass balance

DOAS differential optical absorption spectrometer

DQI data quality indicator

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ERG Eastern Research Group, Inc.

FTIR Fourier transform infrared spectrometer

H₂ hydrogen

HCl hydrogen chloride

Hg mercury

Hg⁰ elemental mercury

LIDAR Light Detection and Ranging

LOA Scientific Technology Model LOA-104 optical anemometer

LRPCD Land Remediation and Pollution Control Division

N₂O nitrous oxide

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS (Continued)

NaCl sodium chloride

NaOH sodium hydroxide

NERL National Exposure Research Laboratory

NIST National Institute for Standards and Technology

NWS National Weather Service

OECA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

ORNL Oak Ridge National Laboratory

OxyChem Occidental Chemical Corporation

PI Principal Investigator

QAPjP Quality Assurance Project Plan

QC quality control

SOP Standard Operating Procedure

SF₆ sulfur hexafluoride

UM University of Michigan

UV-DOAS ultraviolet differential optical absorption spectrometer

UNIT CONVERSION TABLE

Multiply	By	To Obtain
atm	29.92	in. Hg
atm	760	mm Hg
ft	0.3048	m
km	0.6214	mi
L/day	0.264	gal./day
m³/min	35.31	ft ³ /min
pounds	453.6	g
short ton	0.91	metric ton
temperature (°C + 17.8)	1.8	temperature (°F)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared with the assistance of Julie Swift and Joan Bursey of Eastern Research Group, Inc., 1600 Perimeter Park Drive, Morrisville, NC 27560, under EPA Contract 68-D7-0001. Julie Swift was responsible for the tracer gas release and manual bag sampling conducted in the field as well as preparing applicable sections of the report. Joan Bursey was responsible for the QA/QC sections of the report as well as supervising its overall production. The author acknowledges the excellent contributions of both individuals.